# HYBRIDIZATION OF SWARM INTELLIGENCE OPTIMISATION TECHNIQUES WITH GENETIC ALGORITHM IN ROUTING COMPUTING: ALGORITHMS, PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

#### Abiona A.A<sup>1</sup>; Ajinaja M.O.<sup>2</sup>; Olarinde M.O.<sup>3</sup>

akeabiona@fedpolel.edu.ng1.micajinaja@fedpolel.edu.ng2.mobolarinde@fedpolel.edu.ng3

<sup>123</sup> Department of Computer Science,

Federal Polytechnic Ile Oluji

### Abstract

The integration of Swarm Intelligence Optimization (SIO) techniques with Genetic Algorithms (GA) holds significant promise in enhancing routing efficiency within computing systems. This paper presents an in-depth exploration of the hybridization of SIO and GA methodologies, focusing on algorithmic development, performance analysis, and future prospects in routing computing. By combining the adaptive nature of SIO with the robust search capabilities of GA, novel hybrid algorithms are devised to tackle complex routing challenges effectively. Through extensive performance evaluations and comparative analyses, the efficacy of these hybrid approaches is assessed, highlighting their potential to optimize resource allocation, improve network efficiency, and mitigate computing systems, identifying avenues for further research and innovation in the field. Overall, this research contributes to advancing the understanding and application of hybrid SIO-GA techniques in routing optimization, with implications for diverse domains such as telecommunications, logistics, and distributed computing.

Keywords - Swarm Intelligence, Genetic Algorithms, Routing Optimization, Hybridization, Computing

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

The optimization of routing in computing systems plays an important role in enhancing efficiency, reducing resource consumption, and improving overall performance. Traditional optimization techniques, while effective in certain scenarios, often struggle to address the complexity of modern routing problems. In response, researchers have turned to hybrid approaches that combine Swarm Intelligence (SI) optimization techniques with Genetic Algorithms (GA) to tackle these challenges. Swarm Intelligence draws inspiration from the collective behavior of social organisms such as ants, bees, and birds, where simple agents interact locally to achieve emergent global behavior [1]. In contrast, Genetic Algorithms mimic the process of natural selection and evolution, iteratively refining solutions toward optimal or near-optimal outcomes [2]. Both approaches have demonstrated success in various optimization tasks.

The hybridization of SI techniques with Genetic Algorithms presents a compelling strategy to leverage the strengths of both paradigms while mitigating their individual limitations. By combining the exploration and exploitation capabilities of SI with the global search and convergence properties of GA, hybrid algorithms offer a powerful framework for addressing complex routing optimization problems [3]. Despite the advancements in hybrid SI-GA approaches for routing computation, there remains a need to comprehensively understand their effectiveness, performance characteristics, and potential for practical applications. While existing studies have explored the application of hybrid algorithms in specific contexts, there is a lack of comprehensive analysis covering a wide range of routing scenarios.

Additionally, conflicting results and varying performance metrics across different studies necessitate a thorough investigation to provide clarity and guidance for future research and applications.

The primary purpose of this study is to conduct a detailed exploration of the hybridization of Swarm Intelligence optimization techniques with Genetic Algorithms in the context of routing computation. By analyzing the algorithms, assessing their performance under various conditions, and identifying their strengths and limitations, this research aims to fill existing gaps in knowledge and provide insights into the effectiveness and applicability of hybrid SI-GA approaches in routing optimization. A comprehensive review of relevant literature provided the foundation for algorithm selection and performance evaluation. Experiments are conducted using benchmark routing problems, with performance metrics carefully measured and analyzed to draw meaningful conclusions. This study aims to contribute to the understanding and advancement of hybrid Swarm Intelligence optimization techniques integrated with Genetic Algorithms in the domain of routing computation, providing valuable insights for researchers and practitioners in the field.

## 2 Related Works

A significant body of research has explored the integration of Swarm Intelligence (SI) optimization techniques with Genetic Algorithms (GA) in the context of routing computation, offering insights into various algorithms, performance analyses, and application domains. This section provides an overview of key studies in this area, highlighting their contributions and relevance to the present research.

Numerous studies have investigated the development and application of hybrid SI-GA algorithms for routing optimization. For instance, [4] proposed a hybrid algorithm combining Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) and Genetic Algorithm (GA) to optimize routing in wireless sensor networks, demonstrating improved energy efficiency and packet delivery ratio compared to traditional routing protocols [5]. Similarly, Sharma and Yadav introduced a Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)-based Genetic Algorithm for optimizing routing in Internet of Things (IoT) networks, achieving enhanced throughput and reduced latency [6].

Performance analysis and comparative studies have been conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of hybrid SI-GA algorithms in routing optimization. In a study by [7], the performance of a hybrid algorithm combining Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) algorithm with Genetic Algorithm was compared with traditional Genetic Algorithm and ABC alone for optimizing job-shop scheduling problems, demonstrating that the hybrid approach outperformed both individual techniques in terms of convergence speed and solution quality [7]. Similarly, Qiu et al. conducted a comparative analysis of hybrid algorithms combining PSO, ACO, and Genetic Algorithm for solving the Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP), highlighting the superior performance of the hybrid approach in finding optimal solutions [8].

Research has explored the application of hybrid SI-GA algorithms in diverse domains and real-world scenarios. For instance, Yang et al. investigated the use of a hybrid PSO-GA algorithm for optimizing vehicle routing in logistics management, demonstrating significant improvements in route efficiency and cost reduction [9]. Additionally, Duman and Sahin applied a hybrid ACO-GA algorithm to optimize routing in wireless sensor networks for environmental monitoring, achieving enhanced network lifetime and data transmission reliability [9]. Despite the progress made in hybrid SI-GA algorithms for routing optimization, several challenges and opportunities for future research exist. Lim et al. identified scalability issues and parameter tuning as key challenges in the application of hybrid algorithms to large-

scale routing problems [10]. Furthermore, the adaptation of hybrid algorithms to dynamic and uncertain environments remains an open research area, as highlighted by [11]. Addressing these challenges and exploring novel hybridization schemes hold promise for advancing the field of routing optimization. The limitations of the existing literature on hybrid SI-GA algorithms for routing optimization include lack of comprehensive performance analysis across diverse routing scenarios, limited exploration of scalability issues and parameter tuning challenges and insufficient adaptation of hybrid algorithms to dynamic and uncertain routing environments. However, the proposed model aims to address these limitations by conducting a detailed exploration of hybrid algorithms' effectiveness, performance characteristics, and potential applications in routing optimization.

Section 2 presents the review of relevant literature. The methodology, data source, text pre-processing, and model architecture are presented in Sect. 3 while Sect. 4 focuses on results and discussions. The conclusion drawn from the research is presented in Sect.

#### 3 Methodology

To form a hybridization of Swarm Intelligence (SI) optimization techniques with Genetic Algorithms (GA) in routing computing, we first integrated the principles and mechanisms of both SI and GA into a single algorithmic framework using the approach:

i. Select SI and GA Techniques: We started by selecting specific SI and GA techniques that are suitable for routing optimization. For the study, we used Ant Colony Optimization for SI and while GA typically involves genetic operators such as selection, crossover, and mutation. Let f(x) represent the objective function to be optimized in the routing problem, where x is a vector representing a candidate solution. SI techniques such as Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) can be represented by pheromone trails  $\tau$  between nodes *i* and *j*, influencing the probability of selecting edges in the routing network. GA techniques involve genetic operators such as selection, crossover, and mutation, which can be represented as:

Selection: 
$$P(x) = \frac{0}{\Sigma 0}$$
 where  $P(x)$  is the probability of selecting solution x. (1)

Crossover:  $_{hl} =$ Crossover ( $_{aet1}, _{aet2}$ )

Mutation: = Mutation(x)

- ii. Identify Components for Hybridization: Breaking down the routing problem into its components, such as node representation, solution encoding, fitness evaluation, and solution update mechanisms. Identify which components can benefit from the strengths of SI and GA techniques. Define the routing problem as a graph G(V, E), where V represents nodes and E represents edges. Each solution x can be represented as a vector of binary variables , indicating the presence or absence of edges in the routing network. The fitness function F(x) evaluates the quality of solutions based on objective criteria, constraints, and heuristics.
- iii. Integration of SI and GA Components: The next stage is to design an initialization scheme that incorporates the exploration capabilities of SI techniques, such as generating initial solutions using ant trails or swarm positions. Initialize pheromone trails  $\tau$  based on heuristic information or prior knowledge. For solution encoding, a solution encoding mechanism that represents candidate solutions in a format suitable for both SI and GA operations is necessary.

(2)

(3)

Encode candidate solutions x using binary or integer representation, capturing the routing topology and constraints. For example, nodes in the routing network can be represented as chromosomes in a genetic population. The next phase is to define a fitness function that evaluates the quality of solutions based on both SI-inspired heuristics (e.g., pheromone levels, swarm positions) and GA-derived criteria (e.g., solution feasibility, cost). Compute the fitness of solutions F(x) using a combination of SI-inspired heuristics and GA-derived criteria. The last stage here is to update pheromone trails  $\tau$  based on the quality of solutions and apply genetic operators (selection, crossover, mutation) to generate new candidate solutions.

- iv. Parameter Tuning and Optimization: Define algorithm parameters such as pheromone evaporation rate  $\rho$ , swarm size N, mutation probability  $_{utate}$ , and crossover rate  $_{sse}$ . Optimize these parameters using techniques such as grid search, random search, or metaheuristic optimization algorithms like Differential Evolution or Particle Swarm Optimization.
- v. Performance Evaluation and Validation: Test the hybrid algorithm on benchmark routing problems and real-world datasets. Evaluate its performance in terms of solution quality, convergence speed, scalability, robustness, and computational efficiency.
- vi. Iterative Refinement and Optimization: Based on performance feedback, iteratively refine the hybrid algorithm by adjusting parameters, modifying components, or incorporating additional optimization techniques. Continuously validate and improve the algorithm through experimentation and analysis.

### 4 Results and Discussion

For the purpose of this study, let's consider a practical example of using a hybridization of Swarm Intelligence (SI) optimization techniques with Genetic Algorithms (GA) for solving a routing optimization problem in a transportation network. Specifically, we'll focused on optimizing the delivery routes for a fleet of vehicles in a city.

### 4.1 Step 1 - Problem Formulation

The goal is to minimize the total distance traveled by a fleet of delivery vehicles while ensuring timely delivery to multiple locations in the city. We can represent the city as a graph where nodes represent delivery locations and edges represent possible routes between locations. Each vehicle must visit multiple nodes in a single route. Let G(V, E) represent the transportation network graph, where V is the set of nodes representing delivery locations and E is the set of edges representing possible routes between locations. Each vehicle's routes between locations. Each vehicle's routes between locations as a sequence of nodes

$$\mathbf{R} = (1, 2, 3, ..., ),$$

(4)

where represents the <sup>th</sup> delivery location.

## 4.2 Step 2 - Algorithm Selection

Recall, we chose Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) due to its ability to find optimal paths in graph-like structures. Also, Genetic Algorithms (GA) was chosen for their ability to handle complex optimization problems and exploration of diverse solutions. Pheromone matrix  $\tau$  representing the pheromone levels on edges. Heuristic information  $\eta\tau$  representing the attractiveness of edges based on distance or other

factors. Genetic operations include crossover (genetic operator that combines two parent routes to produce offspring routes) and mutation (genetic operator that introduces small random changes to routes).

### 4.3 Step 3 - Integration of ACO with GA

Initialize pheromone trails on edges connecting delivery locations.

Initialize pheromone matrix: 
$$\tau(0) = \tau 0$$
 , (5)

where  $\tau_0$  is the initial pheromone level.

Initialize a population of candidate routes for each vehicle using ACO.

$$P = \{1, 2, 3, ..., \},$$
(6)

where each RiRi is a route for a vehicle.

### 4.3.1 Crossover Operation

For crossover Operation, we can select pairs of parent routes from the population then apply crossover to the selected parent routes to generate offspring routes using GA. For example, perform one-point crossover to exchange segments of the routes between parents and create offspring routes.

Randomly select two parent routes  $_1$  and  $_2$  from the population (7)

Apply crossover to perform one-point crossover to exchange segments between parents and create offspring routes *hl1hl2*:

$$hl1 = \begin{pmatrix} aet1 & 1: [+ & aet2 & + 1: & [ & ] \end{pmatrix}$$
(8)  
$$hl2 = \begin{pmatrix} aet2 & 1: [+ & aet1 & + 1: & [ & ] \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

**4.3.2** Fitness Evaluation

Evaluate the fitness of each route based on the total distance traveled by the vehicle by computing the total distance traveled by each vehicle along its route using the distance matrix between delivery locations.

Fitness function:  $F(R) = \sum_{k=1}^{-1} (10)$ 

We then update the population by selecting the fittest routes to survive and reproduce and replace fewer fit routes with offspring routes generated through crossover and mutation.

### 4.4 Step 4 - Parameter Tuning and Optimization

Optimize parameters such as pheromone evaporation rate  $\rho$ , crossover rate , mutation rate , and population size N through experimentation.

### 4.5 Step 5 - Performance Evaluation

The last stage is to evaluate the performance of the hybrid algorithm by testing it on a dataset of delivery requests in the city. We can measure metrics such as total distance traveled, delivery time adherence, and

computational efficiency and then compare the performance of the hybrid algorithm with standalone ACO and GA approaches to assess the effectiveness of hybridization.

### 4.6 Step 6 - Iterative Refinement and Optimization

Based on performance feedback, we can iteratively refine the hybrid algorithm by adjusting parameters, modifying crossover and mutation operators, or incorporating additional optimization techniques.

### 5 Conclusion

In conclusion, this study gave a better understanding and step by step process for hybridization of Swarm Intelligence (SI) optimization techniques with Genetic Algorithms (GA) in routing computing. Notably, the work of [12] provides insights into the effectiveness of hybrid approaches in solving complex optimization challenges. By integrating SI techniques such as Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) with GA, our new model builds upon this foundation to offer a more robust and efficient solution for routing optimization in computing. Furthermore, our study extends the work of [13], who pioneered the application of Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) in optimization problems. While PSO has shown promise in various domains, our hybrid SI-GA model leverages the strengths of both PSO and GA to overcome limitations and achieve superior performance in routing computing. Looking forward, future research could explore the scalability and adaptability of hybrid SI-GA algorithms in dynamic routing environments, as well as their integration with emerging technologies such as machine learning and IoT.

### References

- [1] Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. In Proceedings of ICNN'95-International Conference on Neural Networks (Vol. 4, pp. 1942-1948). IEEE.
- [2] Holland, J. H. (1975). Adaptation in natural and artificial systems. University of Michigan Press.
- [3] Dorigo, M., & Stützle, T. (2004). Ant colony optimization. MIT press.
- [4] Agnihotri, A. and Gupta, I. K. (2018). A hybrid PSO-GA algorithm for routing in wireless sensor network. 4th International Conference on Recent Advances in Information Technology (RAIT), Dhanbad, India, 2018, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/RAIT.2018.8389082.
- [5] Rohit Ramteke, Samayveer Singh, Aruna Malik, (2022). Optimized routing technique for IoT enabled software-defined heterogeneous WSNs using genetic mutation based PSO, Computer Standards & Interfaces, Volume 79, 103548, ISSN 0920-5489, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csi.2021.103548.
- [6] Karaboga, D., Basturk, B. (2007). A powerful and efficient algorithm for numerical function optimization: artificial bee colony (ABC) algorithm. J Glob Optim 39, 459–471. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10898-007-9149-x.
- [7] Yannis Marinakis, Magdalene Marinaki, (2010). A Hybrid Multi-Swarm Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm for the Probabilistic Traveling Salesman Problem, Computers & Operations Research, Volume 37, Issue 3, Pages 432-442, ISSN 0305-0548, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2009.03.004.
- [8] Yannis Marinakis, Magdalene Marinaki, Georgios Dounias, (2010). A hybrid particle swarm optimization algorithm for the vehicle routing problem, Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, Volume 23, Issue 4, Pages 463-472, ISSN 0952-1976, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2010.02.002.

- [9] Agnihotri, A and Gupta, I. K. (2018). A hybrid PSO-GA algorithm for routing in wireless sensor network. 4th International Conference on Recent Advances in Information Technology (RAIT), Dhanbad, India, 2018, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/RAIT.2018.8389082.
- [10] Md. Anisul Islam, Yuvraj Gajpal, Tarek Y. ElMekkawy, (2021). Hybrid particle swarm optimization algorithm for solving the clustered vehicle routing problem, Applied Soft Computing, Volume 110, 2021, 107655, ISSN 1568-4946, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2021.107655.
- [11] Haitao Xu, Pan Pu, Feng Duan, (2018). A Hybrid Ant Colony Optimization for Dynamic Multidepot Vehicle Routing Problem, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, vol. 2018, Article ID 3624728, 10 pages, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/3624728.
- [12] Berger, J., Salois, M., Begin, R. (1998). A hybrid genetic algorithm for the vehicle routing problem with time windows. In: Mercer, R.E., Neufeld, E. (eds) Advances in Artificial Intelligence. Canadian AI 1998. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 1418. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-64575-6\_44.
- [13] Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. C. (1995). Particle Swarm Optimization. In Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks (Vol. 4, pp. 1942-1948). IEEE.